

IMAS 09.11

First Edition
01 September 2007
Incorporating amendment number(s)

Battle Area Clearance (BAC)

Director,
United Nations Mine Action Service (UNMAS),
2 United Nations Plaza, DC2-0650
New York, NY 10017
USA

Email: mineaction@un.org
Telephone: (1 212) 963 1875
Fax: (1 212) 963 2498

Warning

This document is current with effect from the date shown on the cover page. As the International Mine Action Standards (IMAS) are subject to regular review and revision, users should consult the IMAS project website in order to verify its status at , (<http://www.mineactionstandards.org/>, or through the UNMAS website at <http://www.mineaction.org>)

Copyright notice

This UN document is an International Mine Action Standard (IMAS) and is copyright protected by the UN. Neither this document, nor any extract from it, may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form, or by any means, for any other purpose without prior written permission from UNMAS, acting on behalf of the UN.

This document is not to be sold.

Director
United Nations Mine Action Service (UNMAS)
2 United Nations Plaza, DC2-0650
New York, NY 10017
USA

Email: mineaction@un.org
Telephone: (1 212) 963 1875
Fax: (1 212) 963 2498

Contents

Contents	iii
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
Battle Area Clearance	1
1. Scope	1
2. References	1
3. Terms, definitions and abbreviations	1
4. BAC General	2
5. BAC requirements	2
5.1 Area to be cleared	2
5.2. Quality of clearance	3
5.3. Depth of clearance	3
5.4. Detection Equipment	4
6. Safety	5
7. Reporting and recording	5
8. Responsibilities and obligations	6
8.1 Responsibilities of Parties to a Conflict	6
8.2 United Nations responsibilities	6
8.3 NMAA's responsibilities	7
8.4 Clearance organisation's responsibilities	7
8.5 Monitoring body's responsibilities	8
8.6 Inspection body's responsibilities	8
Annex A (Normative) References	9
Annex B (Informative) Terms, definitions and abbreviations	10
Amendment record	13

Foreword

International standards for humanitarian mine clearance programmes were first proposed by working groups at an international technical conference in Denmark, in July 1996. Criteria were prescribed for all aspects of mine clearance, standards were recommended and a new universal definition of 'clearance' was agreed. In late 1996, the principles proposed in Denmark were developed by a UN-led working group and the *International Standards for Humanitarian Mine Clearance Operations* were developed. A first edition was issued by the UN Mine Action Service (UNMAS) in March 1997.

The scope of these original standards has since been expanded to include the other components of mine action and to reflect changes to operational procedures, practices and norms. The standards were re-developed and renamed as *International Mine Action Standards* (IMAS).

The United Nations has a general responsibility for enabling and encouraging the effective management of mine action programmes, including the development and maintenance of standards. UNMAS, therefore, is the office within the United Nations responsible for the development and maintenance of IMAS. IMAS are produced with the assistance of the Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining.

The work of preparing, reviewing and revising IMAS is conducted by technical committees, with the support of international, governmental and non-governmental organisations. The latest version of each standard, together with information on the work of the technical committees, can be found at <http://www.mineactionstandards.org/>. Individual IMAS are reviewed at least every three years to reflect developing mine action norms and practices and to incorporate changes to international regulations and requirements.

Introduction

It is accepted that despite ammunition procurement and production quality controls, on the battlefield, some munitions do not always work as intended. Historically, military operational Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) planning has worked against a prediction of a 10% failure rate from munitions fired. However recent estimates of failed cluster munitions have indicated that failure rates of up to 30-50% can be expected.¹ Therefore, in situations where there have been high ammunition usage rates the resulting hazards from Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) can be extensive across former battle areas. In addition, in almost all post-conflict environments, there have been undesired explosive events in ammunition storage facilities because of inadequate and/or inappropriate munition management. As a result, ERW have been dispersed and scattered over a large area around the storage facility.

The hazard or risk from failed munitions will vary according to the munition type and a number of variable factors associated with their release, firing or arming systems. Therefore, if the condition of an item of UXO cannot be established, the principle is to treat each item as dangerous and to destroy them in situ. The availability of technical information can help considerably with risk and munition hazard assessments and decisions about whether an item is safe, or not, to move.

Lessons have been drawn from operations in Afghanistan, Kosovo, Lao PDR and Lebanon to help provide guidance on structuring this IMAS. While it is recognised that the need for operational ERW clearance activity is most intense in the short-term period following cessation of hostilities, a long-term clearance and response capability may need to be established and maintained over many years.

The target of humanitarian demining is the identification and removal or destruction of all mine and ERW hazards from a specified area to a specified depth. In a war or conflict many areas may be fought over but not mined and these former battle areas may contain hazards from ERW. The ERW may then pose a humanitarian hazard and constrain development. Clearance of former battle areas differs from mine clearance but should still be conducted in a planned and systematic way to ensure safe access and land use.

Battle Area Clearance (BAC) is the systematic and controlled clearance of hazardous areas where the risk is known not to include mines.

As for all munition clearance, the beneficiaries of land released through BAC must be confident that the cleared land is safe for their use. This requires management systems and clearance procedures which are appropriate, effective, efficient and safe. Planning for BAC should consider national and local provincial priorities. In addition, the local community should also receive regular briefings and explanations during the clearance operation as this acts as a very effective confidence building measure. A community liaison function should be a routine activity incorporated with operations.

This standard builds on the two-stage approach covered in IMAS 09.10. Stage 1, Quality Assurance (QA), involves the accreditation and monitoring of the clearance organisation before and during the clearance process. Stage 2, Quality Control (QC), relates to the inspection of safe cleared land before it is formally released to the beneficiary for use.

This combined application of QA (before and during the clearance process) with post-clearance QC will contribute to achieving an acceptable level of confidence that the land is safe for its intended use. The quality of clearance must be acceptable to both the National Mine Action Authority/Authorities (NMAA) and future land users, and it shall be measurable and verifiable.

¹ Failure rates from Laos are reported by the NMAA to be up 30%, Failure rates from Lebanon in 2006 were reported by the MACC SL to be in the order of 50% or higher.

Battle Area Clearance

1. Scope

This standard guides the quality system (i.e. the organisation, procedures and responsibilities) necessary to determine that former Battle Areas have been cleared to acceptable standards.

2. References

A list of normative and informative references is given in Annex A. Normative references are important documents to which reference is made in this standard and which form part of the provisions of this standard.

3. Terms, definitions and abbreviations

A list of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this standard is given in Annex B. A complete glossary of all the terms, definitions and abbreviations used in the IMAS series of standards is given in IMAS 04.10.

In the IMAS series of standards, the words 'shall', 'should' and 'may' are used to indicate the intended degree of compliance. This use is consistent with the language used in ISO standards and guidelines:

- a) 'shall' is used to indicate requirements, methods or specifications that are to be applied in order to conform to the standard.
- b) 'should' is used to indicate the preferred requirements, methods or specifications.
- c) 'may' is used to indicate a possible method or course of action.

The term National Mine Action Authority (NMAA) refers to the government department(s), organisation(s) or institution(s) in each mine-affected country charged with the regulation, management and coordination of mine action. In most cases the national Mine Action Centre (MAC) or its equivalent will act as, or on behalf of, the NMAA. In certain situations and at certain times it may be necessary and appropriate for the UN, or some other recognised international body, to assume some or all of the responsibilities, and fulfil some or all of the functions, of a NMAA.

The term Battlefield refers to an area in which ERW including UXO and AXO have been found. This may include former battle areas, defensive positions and sites where air delivered or artillery munitions have been left, fired or dropped.

The term Battle Area Clearance (BAC), refers to the systematic and controlled clearance of hazardous areas where the hazards are known **not** to include mines.

The term 'monitoring body' refers to any organisation which monitors the work of the clearance organisation and its sub-units on behalf of the NMAA or MAC.

The term 'inspection body' refers to any organisation which conducts QC on behalf of the NMAA or MAC by applying random sampling procedures, or other appropriate and agreed checks, on the clearance specifications.

Former battlefields shall be accepted as 'cleared' when the clearance organisation has ensured the removal and/or destruction of all, or specified, ERW/ EO hazards, depending on the tasking instructions, from the specified area to the specified depth.

4. BAC General

BAC operations involve the location and disposal of ERW, including UXO and Abandoned Explosive Ordnance (AXO), over specific areas, which may include battlefields, defensive positions and sites where air delivered or artillery munitions have been fired or dropped.

Depending on the humanitarian priorities and required land use, BAC may involve surface and sub-surface clearance. The requirement for BAC can be in both urban and rural environments.

BAC operations do not normally include the disposal of large stockpiles of AXO unless these have been dispersed over a large area, possibly as a result of an explosion nor do BAC operations cover the disposal of stockpiled munitions in national storage facilities.

BAC should not be conducted in areas where a landmine hazard is expected. Conversely, landmine clearance procedures should not be used, where BAC could be more appropriate, and more efficient.

Note: In some circumstances it may be acceptable for BAC techniques to be applied in areas where it is known that only certain type of anti-vehicle mines may exist but definitely there are no anti-personnel mines. However, this decision shall be taken based on a complete hazard analysis of the specific area.

Explosive Ordnance (EO) dealt with on BAC operations can include:

- a) Munitions in former military positions and temporary ammunition storage locations, or munitions simply dropped or abandoned that have not been prepared for use and are not in a national stockpile.
- b) Munitions that have been primed, fuzed, armed or otherwise prepared for use but have not been fired, for example, munitions in gun positions or in former defensive positions that were over run.
- c) Munitions that have been fired, dropped, launched or projected but which have failed to fully function as designed. This includes hazardous components of those UXO that have only partially functioned.
- d) Booby traps and failed, or abandoned, Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs) left behind after hostilities have ceased².

5. BAC requirements

5.1 Area to be cleared

The extent of the area to be cleared shall be determined by the tasking authority developed through the conduct of a technical survey that uses sources of reliable information and evidence collected during technical investigation or progressive clearance operations. For technical survey see IMAS 08.20.

² The responsibility for dealing with IEDs would normally be one for military or police authorities, with specialized equipment. Humanitarian / civilian clearance organizations should be wary of clearance of failed or abandoned IEDs for fear of being seen as taking sides in a conflict and subsequent loss of humanitarian status.

The priorities for BAC clearance in support of development / commercial projects, e.g. road construction etc, may specify an exact area to be cleared. Different depths of clearance may be specified for different areas depending on an assessment of hazard and the future land use. The area outside of these boundaries may still be contaminated. However, for humanitarian BAC tasks, the extent of the area to be cleared cannot always be established at the outset but can be identified as clearance progresses. As such, the priorities for clearance should be determined by the impact on the individual community balanced against national infrastructure priorities.

5.2. Quality of clearance

The quality requirements for BAC depend on the category of BAC conducted. The two categories of BAC are surface clearance and sub-surface clearance. A risk assessment, factoring humanitarian concerns and clearance resources shall be performed in order to decide on concurrent or sequential surface and subsurface clearance.

a) Surface clearance usually relies on visual search, although there may be instances where a detector may be used to aid in the investigate of areas of vegetation, earth mounds or other suspect areas. Recording of searches, munition types and locations of items found is important and may assist determining the details of any subsequent sub-surface search. Unless site-specific requirements dictate, all ERW/EO, including Small Arms Ammunition (SAA), and hazardous parts thereof, are to be removed. For clearance of Armoured Fighting Vehicles refer to TNMA 09.30.01., for clearance of Depleted Uranium refer to TNMA 09.30.02 and for clearance of cluster munitions refer to TNMA 09.30.06 2007.

b) Sub-surface clearance can use various detecting or excavation techniques. All specified ERW and hazardous components are removed in accordance with the requirements of the tasking authority. Disposal of UXO and AXO should be carried out in accordance with IMAS 09.30 Explosive Ordnance Disposal.

The removal and/or destruction of all or specified UXO hazards, in the specified area to the specified depth should be achieved by:

- using accredited BAC clearance organisation(s) with operationally accredited capabilities, such as manual BAC clearance, and with staff with appropriate levels of EOD qualification and competence, (see IMAS 09.30) using appropriate management practices, and applying safe and effective operational procedures;
- monitoring the clearance organisation and its sub-units (see IMAS 07.40) ; and
- conducting a process of post-clearance inspection of cleared land (see IMAS 09.20).

A BAC task order should specify the area to be cleared, the detection target size, the clearance depth, and the requirements for monitoring and inspection. These should be specified by the NMAA or the client.

5.3. Depth of clearance

If a battlefield requires sub-surface clearance, the specified depth of clearance shall be determined by the tasking authority and may be developed through the use of a technical survey, or from other reliable information which establishes the depth of the ERW hazards expected in the area and an assessment of the future intended land use. In the absence of reliable information on the depth of ERW hazard, a default depth for clearance should be established by the tasking authority.

Note: As examples, the typical default depth for sub-surface clearance in Kosovo is 50 cms and in Lebanon is 20 cms based on estimated munition ground penetration depths. In Lao PDR, the munition depths vary widely and a pragmatic default depth of 25cms has been established based on the most common (agricultural) land use.

Specifying clearance depths will depend on the intended land use, the likely ERW hazard in the area to be cleared and other environmental factors. For example:

- ERW may be on the surface of the ground. In this case, the specification may call for the removal and or destruction only of surface ERW hazards.
- Clearance in urban areas may require the removal of many meters of rubble as part of the clearance process.
- In situations where large bombs and missiles or heavy calibre projectiles have been used, the depth of clearance may be several meters.
- Shifting sands in desert areas or coastal areas may require clearance to a depth of 1.0m or 2.0m to locate and destroy ERW which were originally on or close to the surface.

The required clearance depth can be adjusted as clearance work progresses. Any change shall be agreed between with the NMAA and the clearance organisation, and shall be formally recorded.

The clearance process should be repeated if there is a subsequent change to the land use which requires a greater depth of clearance. Detailed records and mechanisms for monitoring potential changes should be established.

Note: There may be circumstances where a clearance organisation is funded to operate in an area with a mandate to identify its own clearance tasks based on general priorities provided by the donor and/or NMAA. In such circumstances, the clearance organisation should, in advance of clearance, produce a clearance plan which indicates the area to be cleared and the intended clearance depth.

Note: During a survey, determining the season of the year that the 'battle' took place can be important and may affect the depth of munition penetration and contamination, for example wet season with soft soil, winter with frozen soils, or depending on the geographical region and climate, the reverse may be the case with summer causing hard baked soils etc.

5.4. Detection Equipment

For sub-surface clearance various detecting techniques may be appropriate including the use of mine detection dogs. Appropriate detection equipments include:

- Shallow search metal detectors - the same as used during mine clearance albeit they may be too sensitive for efficiently conducting a BAC task.
- Shallow search metal detectors which are designed or calibrated against a specified ERW target instead of minimum metal mines.
- Wide loop and large loop detectors.
- Deep search locators.
- Magnetometers.
- Detector arrays, manually carried or mounted on vehicles or trailers.

For details about detection technology refer to the Metal Detector Handbook for Humanitarian Demining published by the European Commission in 2003, and Guidebook on Detection Technologies and Systems for Humanitarian Demining, published by the GICHD in March 2006.

6. Safety

The minimum safety distances for BAC operations are dependent on the expected hazard and the type of operation being conducted.

a) For surface search no minimum safety distance need be applied provided that suspected items are not touched in any way, i.e. they are located visually and marked, maybe by spray paint or surface markers. However, consideration should always be given to the possibility of booby traps.

b) For surface clearance investigation of UXO, where there is a risk of movement or disturbance of items of UXO, a safety distance should be considered and the principle of the minimum number of people in a specified danger area applied.

c) For sub-surface clearance involving excavation a suitable safety distance related to the expected munitions should be assessed and applied. As an example a default safety distance is applied in Afghanistan of 50m and in Laos of 10m, based on effective range predictions of the most common munitions, an assessment of risk and the likelihood of detonation.

Note: Worksite preparation may allow careful vegetation cutting, and removal, and movement over a site following surface clearance to enable marking and preparation of clearance 'lanes' or 'boxes' for subsequent sub-surface clearance. Sub-surface checks should be made before driving stakes or markers in to the ground.

Note: BAC search patterns need to be carefully planned and coordinated to ensure complete coverage of an area. 'Lane' marking, similar to mine clearance operations, may be used but the speed of BAC is often much faster than mine clearance in which case the need for marking should be balanced against productivity. Some programmes will divide an area for sub-surface clearance into boxes for example 25m x 25m, or 50m x 50m, and allocate one clearance technician /deminer, or a large loop team, to each box. The boundaries of the 'box' might be marked by stakes and/or tape/string, then the internal lanes would be marked and moved quickly using tight strings, with an aim of maintaining control and ensuring full area coverage but also minimising the time spent on marking. Marking of BAC should be carried out in accordance with IMAS 08.40 Marking of hazards.

7. Reporting and recording

Protocol on Explosive Remnants of War (Protocol V of the CCW) emphasises certain obligations on recording, storage and release of information on UXO and AXO. In line with the intention of this Protocol, the maintenance of comprehensive records relating, among other things, to what has been found in BAC operations, by whom and where and how deep, will assist short and longer term planning.

In many countries, rapid surface BAC will reduce risk to communities and enable access across land. In the longer term, additional sub-surface clearance may be or become necessary. There are significant benefits of maintaining records indicating what type of munitions may be found in different areas. For example, the surface clearance from a cluster munition strike may remove much of the hazard, but in the process it may also remove the indication of the munitions "footprint" or the area of contamination, thus recording munitions types and locations would help preserve information of strike areas for subsequent sub surface search. Every task, regardless whether it is surface or sub-surface, should be accurately documented and recorded with the NMAA.

Recording of munition types will also assist in building knowledge of munition failure rates, which, in time, may enable planning predictions about the types and quantities of UXO and AXO likely to be encountered in other battle areas.

The availability of modern mapping and reporting tools (GIS, IMSMA etc) should enable accurate information management to help plan immediate and long term EOD requirements. Given that in some countries the need for clearance of ERW and EO will continue for decades, a robust and comprehensive recording system should be established from the outset and diligently maintained.

The NMAA should specify the requirement for reporting and recording.

8. Responsibilities and obligations

8.1 Responsibilities of Parties to a Conflict

In conforming to the principles laid out in the Charter of the United Nations, Protocol V of CCW and the rules of international law of armed conflict, parties to an armed conflict have a responsibility to ensure that civilians are protected from ERW.

In cases where EO is used and which becomes ERW, the 'users' shall, after the cessation of active hostilities, provide, where feasible, technical, financial, material or human resource assistance, to facilitate the marking and clearance, removal or destruction of such ERW.

Parties to a conflict have a responsibility to:

- a) Survey and assess the hazard posed by ERW.
- b) Assess and prioritise needs and practicability in terms of marking and clearance, removal or destruction.
- c) Mark and clear, remove or destroy ERW.
- d) Take steps to mobilise resources to carry out these activities.
- e) In geographical areas that are now outside of the control of one or more of the parties of a conflict they should still make available records or ammunition use, by types and locations and other knowledge they have of locations of ERW.

[Reference: The Convention on Prohibitions and Restrictions on the use of Certain Conventional Weapons which may be deemed to be excessively injurious or to have indiscriminate effects (CCW)].

8.2 United Nations responsibilities

On behalf of affected communities and states the United Nations should be prepared to assess situations and assist in the collection of relevant information from parties to a conflict.

The United Nations shall maintain information on:

- a) Clearance means and technologies for dealing with ERW.
- b) Lists of experts, expert agencies or national points of contact on clearance of ERW.
- c) Technical information on relevant types of explosive ordnance.

8.3 NMAA's responsibilities

The NMAA, or organisation acting on its behalf, shall:

- a) Where possible, specify the area to be cleared and depth of clearance in contracts and agreements;
- b) specify the criteria for clearance to allow clearance organisations the flexibility to clear out to the limits of a suspect area;
- c) specify the standards and guidelines for QA and QC to be applied to clearance contracts and agreements;
- d) accredit organisations to undertake clearance;
- e) maintain records of cleared and uncleared land showing the clearance status for each suspected area;
- f) collect and make available technical information and accident / incident information / analysis to aid the location and safe disposal of EO from former battlefields; and
- g) specify the core EOD competencies to be applied within clearance organisations.

8.4 Clearance organisation's responsibilities

The organisation undertaking clearance shall:

- a) gain from the NMAA or organisation acting on its behalf accreditation to operate as a BAC clearance organisation;
- b) apply the NMAA clearance standard. In the absence of national standards, the clearance organisation shall apply the IMAS standards, or such standards as are specified in their contract or agreement;
- c) maintain and make available documentation of clearance as specified by the NMAA or organisation acting on its behalf; and
- d) apply management practices and operational procedures which aim to clear land to the requirements specified in the contract and tasking agreement(s).
- e) ensure that the affected community is fully cognisant of all clearance activities in the area and the implications for the community, (particularly related to the depth of clearance).
- f) ensure that the staff employed in BAC operations are competent and suitably trained.

In the absence of a NMAA or authorities, the clearance organisation shall assume additional responsibilities. These include, but are not restricted to:

- g) for each Battle Area, agree the requirement and formally document:
 - (1) the area of the clearance; and
 - (2) the depth of the clearance;
- h) establish and apply a system of monitoring the clearance activities, detailed reporting of the UXO and AXO encountered and post-clearance inspections of cleared land; and
- i) assist the host nation, during the establishment of a NMAA, in framing national standards for clearance quality.

8.5 Monitoring body's responsibilities

The monitoring body shall:

- a) gain from the NMAA or organisation acting on its behalf accreditation to operate as a monitoring body;
- b) monitor the clearance organisation and its sub-units in accordance with the intentions of IMAS 07.40 and the requirements of the NMAA or organisation acting on its behalf; and
- c) maintain and make available documentation of monitoring inspections as specified by the NMAA or organisation acting on its behalf.

8.6 Inspection body's responsibilities

The inspection body shall:

- a) gain from the NMAA or organisation acting on its behalf accreditation to operate as an inspection body;
- b) apply sampling procedures in accordance with the requirements of the NMAA or organisation acting on its behalf or IMAS 09.20; and
- c) maintain and make available documentation of inspections as specified by the NMAA or organisation acting on its behalf.

Annex A (Normative) References

The following normative documents contain provisions, which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of the standard. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. However, parties to agreements based on this part of the standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the normative documents indicated below. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies. Members of ISO and IEC maintain registers of currently valid ISO or EN:

- a) IMAS 07.10 Guide for the management of demining operations;
- b) IMAS 07.30 Accreditation of demining organisations and operations;
- c) IMAS 07.40 Monitoring of demining organizations;
- d) IMAS 08.20 Technical survey;
- e) IMAS 08.40 Marking of hazards;
- f) IMAS 09.10 Clearance requirements;
- g) IMAS 09.20 The inspection of cleared land: guidelines for the use of sampling procedures;
- h) IMAS 09.30 Explosive ordnance disposal;

Other informative reference:

- i) Protocol V on Explosive Remnants of War to the CCW;
- j) The UN Charter.
- k) TN 09.30.01 2001 – EOD Clearance of Armoured Fighting Vehicles (AFV).
- l) TN 09.30.02 2001 – Clearance of Depleted Uranium (DU) Hazards.
- m) TN 09.30.06 2007 – Clearance of cluster munitions based on Lebanon experience.
- n) Metal Detectors Handbook for Humanitarian Demining published by the European Commission in 2003.
- o) Guidebook on Detection Technologies and Systems for Humanitarian Demining - published by GICHD in March 2006.

The latest version/edition of these references should be used. GICHD hold copies of all references used in this standard. A register of the latest version/edition of the IMAS standards, guides and references is maintained by GICHD, and can be read on the IMAS website (<http://www.mineactionstandards.org/>). NMAA, employers and other interested bodies and organisations should obtain copies before commencing mine action programmes.

Annex B (Informative)

Terms, definitions and abbreviations

B.1.

Abandoned Explosive Ordnance (AXO)

explosive ordnance that has not been used during an armed conflict, that has been left behind or dumped by a party to an armed conflict, and which is no longer under control of the party that left it behind or dumped it. Abandoned explosive ordnance may or may not have been primed, fuzed, armed or otherwise prepared for use. (CCW protocol V)

B.2.

Battle Area Clearance (BAC)

the systematic and controlled clearance of hazardous areas where the hazards are known not to include **mines**.

B.3.

booby trap

an explosive or non-explosive device, or other material, deliberately placed to cause casualties when an apparently harmless object is disturbed or a normally safe act is performed. [AAP-6]

B.4.

CCW (Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons)

Note: The 1980 Convention on Prohibitions and Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects as amended on 21 December 2001. It has five "protocols." Only two of them are related to mine action. **Amended Protocol II** deals with landmines, booby-traps and other devices, and **Protocol V** deals with the problem of explosive remnants of war (ERW).

B.5.

cleared area

cleared land

an area that has been physically and systematically processed by a clearance organisation to ensure the removal and/or destruction of all mine and ERW hazards to a specified depth.

Note: Cleared area may include land cleared during the technical survey process. This may include boundary lanes or cleared lanes.

B.6.

clearance organisation

refers to any organisation (government, NGO or commercial entity) responsible for implementing clearance projects or tasks. The clearance organisations may be a prime contractor, subcontractor, consultant or agent.

B.7.

explosive materials

components or ancillary items used by **clearance organisations** which contain some **explosives**, or behave in an explosive manner, such as **detonators** and **primers**.

B.8.

Explosive Ordnance (EO)

all munitions containing **explosives**, nuclear fission or fusion materials and biological and chemical agents. This includes bombs and warheads; guided and ballistic missiles; artillery, mortar, rocket and small arms **ammunition**; all **mines**, torpedoes and depth charges; pyrotechnics; clusters and dispensers; cartridge and propellant actuated devices; electro-explosive devices; clandestine and improvised explosive devices; and all similar or related items or components explosive in nature. [AAP-6]

B.9.

Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD)

the **detection**, identification, evaluation, **render safe**, recovery and **disposal** of EO. EOD may be undertaken:

as a routine part of **mine clearance** operations, upon discovery of ERW;

to dispose of **ERW** discovered outside **hazardous areas**, (this may be a single **item of ERW**, or a larger number inside a specific area); or

to dispose of **EO** which has become **hazardous** by deterioration, damage or attempted **destruction**.

B.10.

Explosive Remnants of War (ERW)

Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) and Abandoned Explosive Ordnance (AXO), excluding mines. (CCW protocol V).

B.11.

explosives

a substance or mixture of substances which, under external influences, is capable of rapidly releasing energy in the form of gases and heat. [AAP-6]

B.12.

hazard

potential source of harm. [ISO Guide 51:1999(E)]

B.13.

inspection body

an organisation which conducts post-clearance QC on behalf of the NMAA by applying random sampling procedures, or other appropriate and agreed methods of inspection.

B.14.

intended use (land)

use of land following demining operations.

Note: intended use: use of a product, process or service in accordance with information provided by the supplier. [ISO Guide 51:1999(E)]

Note: intended land use should be included in the clearance task specification and clearance task handover documentation.

B.15.

monitoring body

an **organisation**, normally an element of the **NMAA**, responsible for management and implementation of the national monitoring system.

B.16.

munition

a complete device charged with **explosives**, propellants, pyrotechnics, initiating composition, or nuclear, biological or chemical material for use in military operations, including **demolitions**.

[AAP-6]

Note: In common usage, 'munitions' (plural) can be military weapons, ammunition and equipment.

B.17.

National Mine Action Authority (NMAA)

the government department(s), organisation(s) or institution(s) in each mine-affected country charged with the regulation, management and coordination of mine action.

Note: In most cases the national MAC or its equivalent will act as, or on behalf of, the 'NMAA'.

Note: In certain situations and at certain times it may be necessary and appropriate for the UN, or some other recognised international body, to assume some or all of the responsibilities, and fulfil some or all of the functions, of a NMAA.

B.18.

Protocol V

Protocol V to the **CCW on Explosive Remnants of War**

Note: Under Protocol V, States Parties and parties to armed conflict are required to take action to clear, remove or destroy ERW (Art. 3), and record, retain and transmit information related to the use or abandonment of explosive ordnances (Art. 4). They are also obligated to take all feasible precautions for the protection of civilians (Art. 5) and humanitarian missions and organizations (Art. 6). States Parties in a position to do so should provide cooperation and assistance for marking, clearance, removal, destruction, and victim assistance, among other things (Art. 7 & 8). Protocol V entered into force on 12 November 2006.

B.19.

Quality Assurance (QA)

part of QM focused on providing confidence that quality requirements will be fulfilled. [ISO 9000:2000]

Note: The purpose of QA in humanitarian demining is to confirm that management practices and operational procedures for demining are appropriate, are being applied, and will achieve the stated requirement in a safe, effective and efficient manner. Internal QA will be conducted by demining organisations themselves, but external inspections by an external monitoring body should also be conducted.

B.20.

Quality Control (QC)

part of QM focused on fulfilling quality requirements. [ISO 9000:2000]

Note: QC relates to the inspection of a finished product. In the case of humanitarian demining, the 'product' is safe cleared land.

B.21.

reliable (mine action) information

information deemed acceptable and reliable by the NMAA for the conduct of demining operations.

B.22.

risk

combination of the probability of occurrence of harm and the severity of that harm. [ISO Guide 51:1999(E)]

B.23.

safe

the absence of risk. Normally the term tolerable risk is more appropriate and accurate.

B.24.

tolerable risk

risk which is accepted in a given context based on current values of society. [ISO Guide 51:1999(E)]

B.25.

Unexploded Ordnance (UXO)

EO that has been primed, fuzed, armed or otherwise prepared for use or used. It may have been fired, dropped, launched or projected yet remains unexploded either through malfunction or design or for any other reason.

